Nation of Allowahility	09/876,912		
		MAGEE ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	00
	Cheryl Juska	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportant apportant and serious allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS therewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT ROT THE Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap ) or other appropriate communication (IGHTS. This application is subject t	plication. If not include n will be mailed in due o	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $igotimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>RCE and amendment</u>	nt filed 02/6/04.		
2. $\boxtimes$ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>9-11 and 15-17</u> .			
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on <u>08 June 2001</u> are accepted by the E	Examiner.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unall All b) Some* c) None of the:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 3. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the capable of the proper No./Mail Date	e been received. e been received in Application No comments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply MENT of this application.  Initted. Note the attached EXAMINER res reason(s) why the oath or declarate st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO- C's Amendment / Comment or in the Comment of the drawing the header according to 37 CFR 1.121( posit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL I	national stage applicate complying with the required stage applicated with the required stage and the required stage applicated sta	quirements OTICE OF
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 7/03, 11/03  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. Notice of Informal F 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 7. Examiner's Amendi 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), te ment/Comment	
		Cheryl Juska Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 6, 2004, has been entered.

## Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's amendment filed on February 6, 2004, has been entered. Claims 1-8 and 12-14 are cancelled, while claims 9, 11, and 15 have been amended. New claim 17 has been added.
- 3. The cancellation of claims 1 and 5 renders moot the 102 rejections of said claims as set forth in sections 4 and 5 of the Final Rejection of October 6, 2003. Additionally, the 103 rejections set forth in sections 6-9 of the Final Rejection are hereby withdrawn due to the cancellation of claims 1 and 3-5 and the amendment to claim 15. Specifically, the amendment to claim 15 overcomes the 103 rejections by limiting the woven carpet substrate made of carpet yarns to having a sisal-like surface with raised knuckles and interstitial depressions with the number of yarns per inch being less than 10 x 10.
- 4. With respect to the 103 rejections of claims 7 and 9-11, set forth in section 10 of the Final Rejection, the cancellation of claim 7 renders moots this rejection. Regarding claims 9-11, applicant argues the present invention differs from the prior art in that the relatively heavy carpet

Application/Control Number: 09/876,912

Art Unit: 1771

yarns provide a greater degree of knuckles and depressions that the prior art woven fabrics, woven of finer yarns (Amendment, pages 5-6). In particular, applicant notes the cited prior art of Scott (WO 99/19557) explicitly states that carpet yarns have a denier ranging from 600 to 3600, which are considerably heavier than even conventional upholstery or drapery fabrics (Amendment, page 6, lines 1-5). Additionally, applicant notes that the cited Blumenaus reference teaches relatively level or flat textiles surfaces, contrary to the claimed relatively non-flat, sisal-like carpet substrates (Amendment, page 6, lines 5-13). Furthermore, it is noted that the present claims have been limited to jet dyeing, thereby excluding screen printing process of Buemenaus. Applicant also argues that the cited reference of Scott teaches patterns are developed in woven carpets by employing yarns of different colors (i.e., pattern woven into carpet) as opposed to the presently claimed jet dyeing of woven carpet substrates to provide a pattern or design. Therefore, applicant's arguments are found persuasive and the rejections set forth in section 10 are hereby withdrawn.

5. An updated search of the prior art has produced US 6,142,619 and US 2002/0024549, both issued to Miura et al. Miura teaches ink jet dyeing of textiles having improved resolution, wherein the average diameter of each dye dot is ¾ or less the average diameter of the fibers of said textile (abstract). However, Miura only employs relatively fine (i.e., flat) fabrics, such as cotton lawn (Miura '2002, page 7, section [0113]). Since woven carpet substrates are not typically printed or dyed with a pattern or design after weaving and since there is no teaching or suggestion in either Miura reference to employ the ink jet dyeing process to woven fabrics having a sisal-like surface of raised knuckles and interstitial depressions, the present claims are patentably distinct from said Miura references.

Application/Control Number: 09/876,912 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

6. Therefore, claims 9-11 and 15-17 are in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

7. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached at 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHERYLA JUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINER

ci

March 15, 2004